



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/685,274

10/09/2000

Eric Sean Parham

1497/121

4448

25297

7590

09/11/2009

JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.
Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER
3100 TOWER BLVD.,
DURHAM, NC 27707

EXAMINER

LEVITAN, DMITRY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/11/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/685,274	Applicant(s) PARHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner Dmitry Levitan	Art Unit 2416	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dmitry Levitan. (3) ____.

(2) Gregory A. Hunt. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 09 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: DSL Forum TR-036.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained the amendment of claim 1 limitations, directed to "Class 5 softswitch instructing the gateway" and provided support for these limitations of the claim, as text on page 7 lines 1-8 of the specification. Examiner explained his position of the claim1 limitations, directed to network interfaces, and will decide on the claim 1 patentability based on the results of a new search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dmitry Levitan/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416